

Markov Decision Process

"Model" (S, A, r, p) Policy $\pi(s) \in A$

states | actions | reward | transition

Planning

Reinforcement Learning

Given model, output a policy.

- ☐ Finite horizon
- ☐ Infinite horizon

☐ Planning - Infinite horizon

$\gamma \in [0, 1)$
"Discount factor"

MDP value function

$$V^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim p} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r(s_t, \pi(s_t)) \mid s_0 = s \right]$$

Policy π is better than or equal to policy π' if $V^\pi(s) \geq V^{\pi'}(s)$ in all states s .

Optimal policy

π^* better than or equal to all policies π .

Optimal value function

$$V^*(s) = \max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s)$$

④ Bellman equation / Principle of Optimality

$$(□) V^*(s) = \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V^*(s') \right]$$

Value of state = Value of the optimal action + Value of continuing optimally

② Algorithm 1: Value Iteration

💡 Solve (□) iteratively

Initialize $V(s) = 0$, all states s

Repeat $V'(s) \leftarrow \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V(s') \right]$
all s

$V(s) \leftarrow V'(s)$, all s

Theorem VI converges to the optimal value function V^*

Note Policy extraction

$$\pi^*(s) \in \arg \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V^*(s') \right]$$

Idea Show that $\underline{v}' \leftarrow B(\underline{v})$, for
"Bellman operator" B , is a
contraction.

(Aside)

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$, update $x' \leftarrow f(x)$,
fixpoint $f(x^*) = x^*$.

Function f is a contraction when

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| < \|x - y\|, \quad x \neq y$$

eg., $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$. $(8, 2)$ $(4, 1)$ $(2, 1/2)$...

fixpoint $x^* = 0$

Theorem Given contraction property,
then $f(x)$ has a unique fixpoint and
 $x' \leftarrow f(x)$ converges.

Proof \square f has unique fixpoint, else

$$\|f(x^*) - f(y^*)\| = \|x^* - y^*\|, \text{ violate contraction}$$

\square f has converge to fixpoint; consider $x \neq x^*$

$$\|f(x) - x^*\| = \|f(x) - f(x^*)\| < \|x - x^*\|$$

Fact Bellman operator B is a contraction for norm $\|V\| = \max_s |V(s)|$

Comments

① V^{π} converges to V^* asymptotically

② ^{Optimal} Policy extracted from V in a finite # of steps

③ Extraction

$$\pi(s) \in \arg \max_a \left[r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) V(s) \right]$$

③ Algorithm 2 : Policy Iteration

$$\pi^{(0)} \xrightarrow{E} V^{(0)} \xrightarrow{I} \pi^{(1)} \xrightarrow{E} V^{(1)} \xrightarrow{I} \pi^{(2)} \rightarrow \dots$$

Initialize $\pi^{(0)}$ (arbitrary)

Repeat ① Evaluate V^π (π is current policy)

② Improve:

$$\pi'(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V^\pi(s') \right]$$

for all s

$$\pi \leftarrow \pi'$$

Theorem PI converges to optimal policy in a finite # of steps (\Rightarrow also get optimal value function)

Note Suppose for state s , exists an a

$$r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V^\pi(s') > V^\pi(s)$$

(taking action once, then following π is better than following π)

Can show that $V^{(k+1)} > V^{(k)}$ if the policy changes.

Notes

① Policy evaluation

Given π , can solve a system of equations to get V^π .

$$V^\pi(s) = r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, \pi(s)) V^\pi(s'); \text{ all } s$$

$|s|$ unknowns, $|s|$ equations

In vector form:

$$\underline{V}^\pi = \underline{R}^\pi + \gamma \underline{P}^\pi \underline{V}^\pi, \quad \underline{P}^\pi \text{ is } |s| \times |s| \text{ transition matrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\underline{I} - \gamma \underline{P}^\pi) \underline{V}^\pi = \underline{R}^\pi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{V}^\pi = \underbrace{(\underline{I} - \gamma \underline{P}^\pi)^{-1}}_{\text{full rank}} \underline{R}^\pi$$

② PI requires fewer iterations than VI to solve for optimal π^*

Comparison:

$$\boxed{\text{VI}} \quad V'(s) \leftarrow \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V(s') \right]$$

$O(|S||A|L)$ per iteration

L is the max # reachable next states

$$\boxed{\text{PI}} \quad \pi'(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_a \left[r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s,a) V^{\pi'}(s') \right]$$

$O(|S||A|L + |S|^3)$ per iteration

$\underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}$
policy evaluation

Reinforcement Learning

Learn from environment, no knowledge of μ or p ("the model").

New challenge: explore (learning new things)
vs. exploit (leverage what you know to do well)

Two main approaches

Model-Based

- Learn model (predict next state, reward)
- Use planning, to decide how to act

✓ Can accommodate changes in rewards, transitions

⊗ Costly (lots of computation)

Model-free

- Don't learn model
- Directly learn a policy, or an action-value function (Q-function)

✓ Simple, inexpensive computation

⊗ If things change, have to "act a lot" to learn a new behavior

⑤ Model-free RL: Value-based methods



Learn Q-function

$$Q^\pi(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) V^\pi(s')$$

value of taking a , followed by policy π

$$Q^*(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) V^*(s')$$

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

Bellman Equation

$$Q^*(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} p(s'|s, a) \underbrace{\max_{a'} Q^*(s', a')}_{V^*(s')}$$

Reinforcement Learner

Initialize $Q(s, a)$ values (tabular)

Repeat:

① Act based on Q values

② Use s, a, r, s', a' to update Q values

[Better approximate Q^*]

① Act: ϵ -greedy agent

$$\pi(s) \leftarrow \begin{cases} \arg \max_a Q(s,a) & \text{w. prob } 1-\epsilon \\ \text{random} & \text{w. prob } \epsilon \end{cases}$$

② Learn Q^* through "Temporal difference" updates

⊠ SARSA Each time get new experience (on policy) s, a, r, s', a'

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha_t \left[r + \gamma Q(s',a') - Q(s,a) \right]$$

learning rate (period t) 1-step estimate of $Q^T(s,a)$ TD-error

⊠ Q-LEARNING Each time get new (off-policy) experience (s, a, r, s')

$$Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha_t \left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a') - Q(s,a) \right]$$

1 step estimate of $Q^*(s,a)$ TD-error

□ SARSA is "on policy" because it estimates Q^π for policy followed (including ϵ -exploration)

□ Q-LEARNING is "off policy" because it estimates Q^* while following π (converge to Q^* as long as (s,a) visited often enough)