Bias-Variance Decomposition

February 11, 2021

Model Selection via Cross Validation

- \blacktriangleright For each model (e.g., linear, or neural network, or random forest), measure its performance by "holding out" one fold at a time (e.g., with 5 'experiments' as per here)
- For example, train BCDE / validate A; train ACDE / validate on B; etc. Measure average validation error.
- \triangleright Choose model with best, average validation error. Then train on all data.

The Bias-Variance Decomposition

- \triangleright Simple models under-fit: will deviate from data (high bias) but will not be influenced by peculiarities of data (low variance).
- \triangleright Complex models over-fit: will not deviate systematically from data (low bias) but will be very sensitive to data (high variance).

Note: the right tradeoff between bias and variance depends on the amount of data. More data, can use more complex models.

Bias-Variance: Analysis (1 of 4)

 \triangleright Define the trained model $f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$.

▶ Data D is a random variable, sampled $D \sim P^N$ (for distr. P).

In Consider some new input x. Conditioned on x, true target y is a random variable (may be noise.)

We're interested in the generalization error at x:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\,y|\mathbf{x}}[(y-f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^2],
$$

where the expectation is taken wrt D and y .

Bias-Variance: Analysis (2 of 4)

 \blacktriangleright Define the true conditional mean, $\overline{y} = \mathbb{E}_{y|\mathbf{x}}[y]$.

The generalization error at x is:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - \overline{y} + \overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]
$$
\n
$$
= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - \overline{y})^{2}]}_{\text{noise}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]}_{\text{bias+var}} + 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - \overline{y})(\overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))]}
$$
\n(1)

The last term can be written as

$$
2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{y|\mathbf{x}}[y - \overline{y}] = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot 0 = 0.
$$

Bias-Variance: Analysis (3 of 4)

 \blacktriangleright Define the prediction mean $\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})]$.

Expanding the second term in (1), we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{y} - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}] =
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{(\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}}_{\text{bias squared}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]}_{\text{variance}} + \underbrace{2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}))(\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))]}_{0}
$$
\n(2)

The last term can be written as

$$
2(\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x})) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})] = 2(\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}))(0) = 0.
$$

Bias-Variance: Analysis (4 of 4)

Substituting (2) back into (1), we have:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}] =
$$

\n
$$
\mathbb{E}_{y|\mathbf{x}}[(y - \overline{y})^{2}] + (\overline{y} - \overline{f}(\mathbf{x}))^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]
$$

\n= noise(**x**) + (bias(f(**x**)))^{2} + var_{\mathcal{D}}(f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})).}

Depends on noise, and (i) systematic error (or bias), and (ii) sensitivity of the predictor to data (or variance.)

Considering the expectation over x, the generalization error is:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\text{noise}(\mathbf{x}) + (\text{bias}(f(\mathbf{x})))^2 + \text{var}_{\mathcal{D}}(f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))\right]
$$

The Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- If model fits the training data perfectly and there is a small amount of data then the variance will be high (overfits!)
- If model is very simple, then the variance will be low but the bias high (underfits!)
- ▶ As $N \to \infty$ the variance $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^2]$ falls, can use a more complex model.

The Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- If model fits the training data perfectly and there is a small amount of data then the variance will be high (overfits!)
- \blacktriangleright If model is very simple, then the variance will be low but the bias high (underfits!)
- ▶ As $N \to \infty$ the variance $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[(\overline{f}(\mathbf{x}) f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}))^2]$ falls, can use a more complex model.

